UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	- FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,979	03/24/2004	Assaf Govari	BIO-5044	4469
27777 PHILIP S. JOH	7590 11/19/200 NSON	7	EXAMINER	
JOHNSON & J	OHNSON	VRETTAKOS, PETER J		
	N & JOHNSON PLAZ VICK, NJ 08933-7003	 -	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/807,979	GOVARI, ASSAF			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peter J. Vrettakos	3739			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	September 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ TI	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are pending in the ap	plication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr					
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	t received.			
Attachment(s)	. 🗖				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	·			

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

The application is published application number: 2005/0215 990. The publication is classified in US 606/27.

The effective filing date of this application is 3-24-04.

Pending claims are 1 and 4-11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sliwa (6,971,394) in view of Shturman (5,331,947).

Sliwa discloses numerous embodiments including a catheter with ablation devices (see figures 5a-c,12-13,14a). It is noted that Sliwa discloses that ablation devices can be electrodes or ultrasonic transducers (col. 3:7-14). Therefore, disclosed embodiments with electrodes are tantamount to disclosing the same embodiment but with ultrasound transducers.

In another embodiment including an ablation device (see figure 64) Sliwa discloses:

Art Unit: 3739

- 1. Apparatus (see figure 64, *inter alia*) for use with a subject, comprising: a catheter (see figure 8, *inter alia*) having a longitudinal axis and having a distal portion; and an ultrasound array (406) fixed to the distal portion, adapted to operate in a phased array mode (col. 29:26-30) to apply ablating energy to tissue of the subject. **Further**, Sliwa discloses an apparatus wherein an ultrasound array is adapted to apply the ablating energy to tissue in a range of azimuths between about 180 and 359 degrees (Sliwa discloses an apparatus for forming a **continuous lesion around the circular pulmonary vein** see col. 3:10-15).
- 4. The apparatus according to claim 1, wherein when the catheter is disposed in a vicinity of an ostium of a pulmonary vein (col. 2:57-60, *inter alia*) of the subject, the range of azimuths is sufficiently smaller than 360 degrees to avoid inducing a deficit in a phrenic nerve (col. 17:25-30; col. 2:22) of the subject.
- 5. The apparatus according to claim 1, comprising detection functionality (imaging disclosed in col. 3:53-57, col. 16:30-33, col. 16:53-56, and col. 34:51), adapted to determine tissue of the subject that is not to be targeted by the ablating energy (visualization / imaging certainly does this), wherein the ultrasound array is adapted to (control system 334; col. 34:45-57) configure the ablating energy responsive to the determination of the tissue that is not to be targeted.
- 6. The apparatus according to claim 5, wherein the ultrasound array is adapted

Art Unit: 3739

to (control system 334, col. 34:45-57) set the range of azimuths responsive to the determination of the tissue that is not to be targeted.

- 7. The apparatus according to claim 5, wherein the detection functionality comprises an ultrasound transducer ("ultrasound probes" is synonymous to ultrasound transducer see col. 16:54).
- 8. The apparatus according to claim 5, wherein the detection functionality comprises at least a portion of the ultrasound array ("ultrasound probes" is synonymous to ultrasound array see col. 16:54).
- 9. The apparatus according to claim 5, wherein the detection functionality comprises imaging functionality (imaging disclosed in col. 3:53-57, col. 16:30-33, col. 16:53-56, and col. 34:51).

Sliwa discloses more than one transducer. See col. 7:15-17, inter alia.

Sliwa is silent regarding azimuths and transducer numbers between 32 and 64.

However, Shturman (5,331,947) discloses 32 and 64 transducers in an analogous catheter (note the similarities in catheter 20 in Shturman figures 7, 8, 9, 10,11,12, and 13 to those in Sliwa figures 5a-c,12-13,14a). Remember, the electrodes

Art Unit: 3739

in Sliwa embodiments can seamlessly be exchanged for ultrasound transducers (Sliwa col. 3:7-10). See Shturman col. 6:47-52 where the number of transducers is suggestively 32 or 64 also known as "binary" numbers. The transducers are suggestively placed circumferentially, therefore capable of ablating between 180 and 359 degrees around the catheter.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify Sliwa in view of Shturman by circumferentially placing 32 or 64 ultrasound transducers as done in Shturman on a Sliwa embodiment from figures 5a-c,12-13,14a. The motivation to include into the Sliwa embodiments 32 or 64 circumferentially placed transducers is to use a well-known strategy in ultrasound signal processing (binary number signal processing) and to be able to ablate all tissue surrounding the catheter (as suggested in the pulmonary vein treatment disclosed in Sliwa col. 3:10-15.)

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sliwa (6,971,394) in view of Shturman (5,331,947) and further in view of Crowley et al. (6,004,269).

Sliwa/Shturman is silent regarding imaging transducers being adjacent to ablation transducers (making the image transducer part of the array) at the distal portion of the catheter and an external imaging transducer/detection functionality.

Crowley discloses an analogous ultrasound catheter in which imaging transducers (416) are adjacent to ablation transducers (414) at the distal portion of the

Art Unit: 3739

catheter. See figure 32a. Crowley also discloses an external visualizing ultrasound device in col. 29:35-37. The <u>motivation</u> to combine the patents is to better define what is suggested in Sliwa (the suggestion of ultrasound imaging) as well as to provide a specific means to visualize the targeted and non-targeted tissue.

Therefore, at the time of the invention in would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Sliwa in view of Shturman and further in view of Crowley by using an external visualizing ultrasound device or an internal ultrasound transducer for visualizing at the distal tip of the catheter. Again, the <u>motivation</u> to combine the patents is to better define what is suggested in Sliwa/Shturman as well as to provide a specific means to visualize the targeted and non-targeted tissue. Sliwa suggests imaging as well as using transducers for purposes other than ablation in col. 3:53-57, col. 16:30-33, col. 16:53-56, col. 34:51, and col. 7:25-27.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9-21-07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Sliwa discloses a catheter with multiple ultrasound transducers capable of forming uninterrupted circumferential lesions around the pulmonary vein (col. 3:7-14). Inherent to a circumferentially designed device with ultrasound transducers arranged all around are azimuths ranging from 0 to 360 degrees. In a catheter analogous to Sliwa, Shturman discloses 32 and 64 transducers. The arranged 32 and 64 transducers around the circumferential end of the Sliwa device, one makes obvious the Applicant's

Art Unit: 3739

invention notwithstanding threading through the venous system (intended use) and avoiding inducing deficit in a phrenic nerve (discovery not patentable; MPEP § 2112 I.).

The Applicant argues against Crowley. In response, mapping electrodes in Crowley provide external detection functionality because are the electrodes attached to wires that run to an external controller exhibiting detection functionality.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Vrettakos whose telephone number is 571-272-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pete Vrettakos November 13, 2007

ROY D. GIBSON PRIMARY EXAMINED